

Requests for Andy Eiden:

Q.DPS.GMP.3-13: On page 11 of Mr. Eiden's rebuttal testimony, he equates the Department's recommendation to the "status quo."

a. Please confirm that Mr. Eiden's analysis includes a counterfactual "baseline" investment scenario.

b. Please confirm the amount of annual investment that is included in the counterfactual "baseline" investment scenario.

A.DPS.GMP.3-13: Mr. Eiden's testimony observed that the Department's method of valuing resilience investments qualitatively was "akin to status quo" resilience planning because it relied primarily on a ratepayer test and appeared to discount the customer experience. The specific impact of the Department's proposal for customers is described in Mr. Eiden's and Mr. Burke's rebuttal testimony as noted in the full referenced testimony.

- a. Confirmed.
- b. The baseline counterfactual assumes \$7.6M of investment in the first year, inflated by CPI over the ten years of the counterfactual scenario, for an average annual investment of \$8.4M. See Exhibit GMP-AE-3, tabs "Rev Req't_Baseline_OH1PH," "Rev Req't_Baseline_OH3PH," and "Rev Req't_Baseline_UG1PH" at cells F9-O9.

Person/s Responsible for Response: Andy Eiden

Title of Person/s: Senior Manager, Current Energy Group

Date: June 10, 2026